MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

| PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Type of Requestor: (x) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee | () Insurance Carrier | |
| Requestor's Name and Address Surgical and Diagnostic Center, LP 729 Bedford Euless Road, Suite 100 Hurst, Texas 76053 | MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-3595-01 | 2 |
| | TWCC No.: | _ |
| | Injured Employee's Name: | _ |
| Respondent's Name and Address American &Foreign Insurance Company C/o Cunningham Lindsey US, Inc. Box 11 | Date of Injury: | _ |
| | Employer's Name: | _ |
| | Insurance Carrier's No.: | - |

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | | CDT C. L() D | | |
|------------------|----------|---|-------------------|------------|
| From | То | CPT Code(s) or Description | Amount in Dispute | Amount Due |
| 02/26/04 | 02/26/04 | 04.43—Endoscopy, Carpal Tunnel Release | \$2,678.00 | \$1,738.09 |
| 02/26/04 | 02/26/04 | 80074, 80076—Lab Fees | \$54.00 | \$0.00 |

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Our charges are fair and reasonable based on other insurance companies determination of fair and reasonable payments of 85-100% of our billed charges. Workers' Compensation Carriers are subject to a duty of good faith dealing in the process of workers' compensation claims.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

No response received.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither party has provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is clearly evident that some other amount represents the fair and reasonable reimbursement.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 213.3% to 290% of Medicare for this particular year). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review and considering the similarity of the various procedures involved in this surgery, staff selected a reimbursement amount in the lower end of the Ingenix range. According to the CMS/ASC guidelines, lab fees are included in the facility fees and not separately payable. The total amount was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the recommended amount, discussed the facts of the individual case, and selected the appropriate "fair and reasonable" amount to be ordered in the final decision.

| experienced staff members in Medical Revie | es' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable prew, we find that the fair and reasonable reimburse a total of \$1,118.00 for these services, the health | ement amount for these services is |
|---|---|---|
| PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND | ORDER | |
| childred to additional reimbursement in tr | ealthcare services, the Medical Review Divis he amount of \$ <u>1,738.09</u> . The Division herels to the time of payment to the Requesto | OV OPDEDS the incurrence comicante |
| Ordered by: | | |
| Dolas Hausenfluck | Debra Hausenfluck | August 23, 2005 |
| Authorized Signature | Typed Name | Date of Order |
| PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A | HEARING | |
| House Bill 7, recently enacted by the 79th Te pending for a hearing at the State Office of A hearing. This means that the usual 20-day with parties during this transition phase. If you with the your request for a hearing to the Compared to SOAH for docketing. A request for 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-Beginning September 1, 2005, appeals of means after than 30 days after the date on which the content of the state of | exas Legislature, provides that an appeal of a mediadministrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before Audindow to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission ish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution as early as possible to allow sufficient to a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clert-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be at dical dispute resolution orders are procedurally in (k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005). Ar decision that is the subject of the appeal is final a español acerca de ésta correspondencia, far | dical dispute resolution order that is not gust 31, 2005 is not entitled to a SOAH Rule 148.3, will be shortened for some lution order to SOAH, you are encouraged me for the Commission to submit your k of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box tached to the request. Inade directly to a district court in Travis in appeal to District Court must be filed not and appealable. |
| | | 701 de hamai à 312-004-4012. |
| ART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVE | ERY CERTIFICATION | |
| hereby verify that I received a copy of the Signature of Insurance Carrier: | Decision in the Austin Representative's bo | Date: |
| | | |